UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,620	12/19/2000 R. Alan Neely		4156-006	5816
4678 MACCORD M	7590 02/26/200 ASON PLLC	EXAMINER		
300 N. GREEN	E STREET, SUITE 16	HAMILTON, LALITA M		
P. O. BOX 2974 GREENSBORG			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3691	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ap	oplication No.	ication No. Applicant(s)				
		09	9/741,620		NEELY ET AL.			
		E	caminer		Art Unit			
			ılita M. Hamilton		3691			
 Period for l	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sh	neet with the co	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ins of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn riod for reply is specified above, the maximum st o reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COM In no event, however only and will expire SIX see the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from t come ABANDONED	lely filed he mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 10 Dece	mber 2007					
· <u> </u>			ion is non-final.					
'		<i>′</i> —		al matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	·	·	•	·				
· _	laim(s) <u>1-153</u> is/are pending in the	application						
•			rom consideration	nn -				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5)∭ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-153</u> is/are rejected.							
· · ·	laim(s) <u>1-755</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	laim(s) is/are objected to: laim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	action requireme	int				
		tion and/or ele	solion requireme	iii.				
Application	n Papers							
•	e specification is objected to by th							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of 3) Informa) If References Cited (PTO-892) If Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) O(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pap 5) ☐ No	erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Dat tice of Informal Pa per:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/741,620 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

On August 10, 2007, an Office Action was sent to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-153. On December 10, 2007, the Applicant responded by amending claims 1, 53, 77, and 151-153.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-153 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Virgin (6,826,542) in view of Zervides (6,052,674).

Virgin discloses and Zervides teaches the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth in the previous Office Actions. Virgin further discloses at least one access point for at least one customer (Payors can create, on the central invoicing system, a list of invoicers from whom they wish to receive invoices (see abstract)).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/741,620 Page 3

Art Unit: 3691

Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that neither Virgin nor Zervides discloses or teaches at least one access point for at least one customer to each of the invoicers. In response, Virgin discloses that the payors can create, on the central invoicing system, a list of invoicers from whom they wish to receive invoices (see abstract).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,620 Page 4

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lalita M Hamilton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691